

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Final Action dated February 16, 2006. In order to advance prosecution of the present Application, Claims 1, 7, and 11 have been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this Application.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13, 14, and 17-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamport, et al. in view of Hsing, et al. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Independent Claims 1 and 11 recite in general the ability to inhibit generation of a switch status message at a first data switch destined for a third data switch upon not receiving a switch status message from a second data switch in order to initiate redirection of subsequent data messages over an alternate data path through said data network. By contrast, neither the Lamport, et al. patent nor the Hsing, et al. patent inhibit generation of a switch status message let alone inhibit its generation to a separate third switch in the network as provided in the claimed invention. The portions of the Lamport, et al. patent cited by the Examiner fail to disclose any generation of a switch status message by a first data switch destined for a third data switch in an implementation where a first data message is sent from the first data switch to a second switch. The Lamport, et al. and Hsing, et al. patents are directed to the interaction between two network members and not any interaction of three data switches as provided in the claimed invention. Moreover, neither the Lamport, et al. nor Hsing, et al. patents use this inhibit capability to trigger a redirection of data messages onto an alternate path as provided by the claimed invention. The Examiner cites the alternative path determination of the

Hsing, et al. path to support the rejections to the claims. However, there is no disclosure in the cited portion of the Hsing, et al. patent that the determination of the alternate path is initiated as a result of inhibiting generation of a switch status method let alone in relation to a third of three data switches within a data network as provided by the claimed invention.

Further, the Lamport, et al. patent clearly states that a keep alive message from a first node is re-sent several times to a second node when an acknowledgment message is not received from the second node. See col. 37, lines 42-45, of the Lamport, et al. patent. It is not until after several keep alive messages have been sent by the Lamport, et al. patent that a link is declared dead. Upon the link being declared dead, no keep alive messages are sent to the second node in the system of the Lamport, et al. patent. Keep alive messages and acknowledgment messages are sent between the same two nodes in the Lamport, et al. patent. There is no discussion in the Lamport, et al. patent concerning messages going to a third network node let alone an ability to inhibit those messages. Thus, the Lamport, et al. patent does not inhibit its keep alive message scheme between two nodes let alone separately to a third node as required by the claimed invention. Similarly, the Hsing, et al. patent uses a polling and response technique between two nodes to detect a fault in the link between the two nodes. Thus, the Hsing, et al. patent does not inhibit generation of a switch status message to a separate third node in the data path as required by the claimed invention.

As stated above, neither the Hsing, et al nor the Lamport, et al patents inhibit generation of a switch status message to a separate third switch nor use the inhibition of a

switch status message destined for the separate third switch to initiate redirection of subsequent data messages over an alternative data path. The structure that would result from placing the data re-routing capability based on a failure detected in the poll/response mechanism between two nodes of the Hsing, et al. into the system of the Lamport, et al. patent that continues to send keep alive messages from a first node to a second node despite not receiving an acknowledgment at the first node from the second node still fails to provide an ability to inhibit sending of a status message to a separate third node in the data path that initiates redirection of the data onto a different data path as required by the claimed invention. Based on the foregoing, the Lamport, et al. and Hsing, et al. patents are insufficient to support a rejection of the claims. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13, 14, and 17-21 are patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Hsing, et al. combination.

Claims 2, 10, and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamport, et al. in view of Hsing, et al. and further in view of McGill, et al. Independent Claim 1, from which Claims 2 and 10 depend; Independent Claim 11, from which Claim 12 depends; and Independent Claim 23, from which Claim 24 depends, have been shown above to be patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Hsing, et al. combination. Moreover, the McGill patent does not include any additional disclosure combinable with either the Lamport, et al. or Hsing, et al. patents that would be material to patentability of these claims. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2, 10, 12, and 24 are patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et a. - Hsing, et al. - McGill combination.

Claims 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamport, et al. in view of Hsing, et al. and further in view of Shew, et al. Independent Claim 11, from which Claims 15 and 16 depend, has been shown above to be patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Hsing, et al. combination. Moreover, the Shew, et al. patent does not include any additional disclosure combinable with either the Lamport, et al. or Hsing, et al. patents that would be material to patentability of these claims. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 15 and 16 are patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Hsing, et al. - Shew, et al. combination.

Claims 22 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamport, et al. in view of Olson and further in view of Hsing, et al. Independent Claims 22 and 23 recite in general the ability to send a switch status message to a first data switch in response to not receiving a first data message from the first data switch, where the switch status message initiates redirection of subsequent data messages over an alternate data path through a data network. The Examiner readily admits that the Lamport, et al. and Olson patents lack any disclosure related to this feature. The Examiner uses the Hsing, et al. patent to support the disclosure of this feature. However, the Hsing, et al. patent merely discloses sending a re-route release message for releasing bandwidth for a call from a first switch to downstream switches in response to detecting a link fault by the first switch. The Hsing, et al. patent does not provide a capability for the first switch to receive a status message from a second switch to initiate redirection of subsequent data messages onto an alternate data path in response to the second switch not receiving a data message from the first

switch on the data path as required in the claimed invention. The Hsing, et al. patent uses a polling/response mechanism and does not provide any response as a result of lack of receipt of data on the data path as provided in the claimed invention. Thus, the proposed structure that would result from placing the re-route technique in response to a polling/response mechanism of the Hsing, et al. patent into the system of the Lamport, et al. patent that uses the keep alive implementation still lacks the ability to send a switch status message to a first switch in response to not receiving a first data message from the first switch, where the switch status message initiates redirection of subsequent data messages over an alternate data path through a data network. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 22 and 23 are patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Olson - Hsing, et al. combination.

Claim 24 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamport, et al. in view of Olson and Hsing, et al. and further in view of McGill, et al. Independent Claim 23, from which Claim 24 depends, has been shown above to be patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Olson - Hsing, et al. combination. Moreover, the McGill, et al. patent does not include any additional disclosure combinable with either the Lamport, et al., Olson, or Hsing, et al. patents that would be material to patentability of these claims. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 24 is patentably distinct from the proposed Lamport, et al. - Olson - Hsing, et al. - McGill, et al. combination.

This Response to Examiner's Final Action is necessary to address the Examiner's characterization of the cited art in support of the rejection to the claims. This Response to Examiner's Final Action could not have been presented earlier

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
069116.0169
(PA 09 0022 C)

PATENT APPLICATION
09/692,885

13

as the Examiner has only now provided the current characterization of the cited art in support of the claim rejections.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place the Application in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and full allowance of all pending claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount required or credit any overpayment associated with this Application to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicant

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Charles S. Fish', is written over a horizontal line.

Charles S. Fish

Reg. No. 35,870

April 17, 2006

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

(214) 953-6507

Customer Number: 05073